## LAW OFFICES BLOOSTON, MORDKOFSKY, DICKENS, DUFFY & PRENDERGAST

2120 L STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037

HAROLD MORDKOFSKY BENJAMIN H. DICKENS, JR. JOHN A. PRENDERGAST GERARD J. DUFFY RICHARD D. RUBINO MARY J. SISAK D. CARY MITCHELL DOUGLAS W. EVERETTE

ARTHUR BLOOSTON 1914 – 1999 (202) 659-0830 FACSIMILE: (202) 828-5568 AFFILIATED SOUTH AMERICAN OFFICES
ESTUDIO JAUREGUI & ASSOCIATES
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

ROBERT M. JACKSON

PERRY W. WOOFTER
LEGISLATIVE CONSULTANT

OF COUNSEL

EUGENE MALISZEWSKYJ DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING PRIVATE RADIO

March 24, 2004

## **Ex Parte**

Filed Electronically

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: CC Docket Nos. 96-45; 01-92; 95-116

WC Docket No. 04-36 EX PARTE NOTICE

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On March 24, 2004, the individuals from various South Dakota telephone companies and their counsel, identified in Exhibit A, met with Jessica Rosenworcel, Competition and Universal Service Legal Advisor for Commissioner Michael J. Copps, to discuss the impact of the Commission's current and pending proceedings on universal service; intercarrier compensation; local number portability (LNP) and voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP), referenced above, on rural telephone companies.

During the meeting, a number of issues were discussed concerning the Federal-State Joint Board's recent Recommended Decision on Universal Service, including: (1) the primary line restriction; (2) ETC support; (3) the timeframe for FCC action; and (4) the impact on rural investment during the interim. The attendees also discussed the ETC designation processes including: (1) state commission guidelines; (2) enforcement of annual audits; (3) and carrier of last resort obligations.

In addition, the attendees discussed the impact of intercarrier compensation, VOIP, and LNP on the revenues of rural carriers. A copy of the handout that was distributed at the meeting is attached.

Consistent with section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §1.1206, one copy

of this notice is being filed electronically in the above-captioned proceedings. Please direct any questions concerning the above matter to the undersigned at (202) 659-0830.

Sincerely,

/s/ Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr. Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr.

Attachments

cc: Jessica Rosenworcel

## SOUTH DAKOTA RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANIES

- I. FCC proceedings are increasing the costs of rural telephone companies and adversely impacting revenues.
- II. Pending proceedings on universal service, intercarrier compensation and VoIP could have tremendous adverse impacts on rural telephone companies.
  - A. For the rural telephone companies in South Dakota, approximately 30% of revenues come from federal universal service and approximately 24% of revenues come from intercarrier compensation
  - B. Intercarrier compensation based on bill and keep would eliminate approximately 24% of the revenues of rural telephone companies
  - C. These revenues also will be eroded as VoIP services increase if the FCC exempts all such services from access charges.
  - D. A decision to provide universal service support only to primary lines, like the recommended decision of the Federal-State Joint Board, could seriously erode universal service revenues.
- III. FCC decisions to promote competition, like LNP, increase the cost of providing service and have a disproportionate impact on rural telephone companies because they have fewer customers in their service territories to absorb the costs.
- IV. FCC decisions should reflect the different cost and benefit of various proposals on rural telephone companies.

## Exhibit A

Mick Grosz, West River Telecommunications Cooperative
Denny Law, Sioux Valley Telephone Company
George Strandell, Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.
Greg Oleson, Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.
Rod Bowar, Kennebec Telephone Company, Inc.
Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr., Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast